

**EFFECT OF HOT PEPPER AS A FEED ADDITIVE ON  
REPRODUCTIVE AND PRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF  
NILE TILAPIA (*OREOCHROMIS NILOTICUS*)**

**Ahmed Abdalla<sup>1</sup> and Agouz H.M<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Fish Physiology and Spawning Department*

<sup>2</sup>*Fish culture Department*

*Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research,  
Agriculture Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt*

*Received 6/ 1/ 2014*

*Accepted 18/ 2/ 2014*

---

***Abstract***

This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of five hot pepper levels (0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3%, 0.4%, and 0.5%) on the reproductive performance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), kept in hapas (4 m × 7 m × 1.2 m) suspended in an earthen pond. Fish (250 gm ± 2.35) were stocked for spawning into twelve hapas at a density of 120 fish (90 female and 30 male) per hapa /treatment. Fish fed the tested diets twice daily at a rate of 0.6 from their total biomass. Water quality parameters were measured weekly. Production of seed (i.e eggs and fry production) were compared with levels of hot pepper in the commercial diet. The results revealed that the reproductive and growth Performance (number of egg /female, number of fry /female, and hatchability) improved significantly by increasing hot pepper levels in the commercial diets. Fish fed from 0.1% to 0.4% hot pepper showed the highest performance while fish fed 0.5% hot pepper and control diet showed the lowest performance. In conclusion it seems that the 0.4% hot pepper level in commercial diet was the best compared the different levels of hot pepper followed by the 0.3%, 0.2% 0.1% and 0.5% hot pepper levels than control treatment respectively

**Key wards:** Nile tilapia, reproductive performance, Hot pepper

---

**INTRODUCTION**

Fish sector contributed by 8.5% in national agriculture income, fish production from aquaculture activities contributed 74% of the total fish

production which amounted 1.305 million metric tons, tilapia acts as 63.48 % of them (GAFRD 2012).

Tilapia has become popular for farmers as it is easy to culture and there is a good demand in the market. Moreover, tilapias (*Oreochromis spp.*) adapt well to the local environment and local feed, and have high productivity (Sorphea *et al.*, 2010). For many years, tilapia has drawn attention of the farmers for their better growth performance. It rank high in global aquaculture production after salmons and carps (FAO, 2012). Tilapias are a hardy species produced by several culture methods under a wide range of environmental conditions in tropical and subtropical regions in developing countries and it can be cultured in mesh cages that maintain free circulation of water. It ensures flexibility in management practices with easy and low cost of harvesting.

Hot Pepper (*Capsicum annum L*) commonly used in diet and traditional medicine, were assessed for their antioxidant potential (Shahverdi *et al.*, 2013). Antimicrobial peptides are very efficient in inhibiting growth in human and plant pathogenic bacteria and fungi. Hot red pepper (*Capsicum annum L*) is one of the most important herbs, which is widely used in human feed all over the world. It originated from central and South America and it's belonged to Solanaceae family, genus *Capsicum*. It frequently consumed as spices throughout the world (Kobata *et al.*, 1998). Hot pepper fruits contain the chemical group of alkaloid compounds called capsaicinoids, which are responsible for the pungency of the *Capsicum* species. Wesolowska *et al.* (2011) reported that the major components of the extracts are capsaicin, dihydrocapsaicin and nonivamide (pelargonic acid vanillylamide).

Capsaicin (CAP, 8-methyl-N-Vanillyl-6-nonenamide) is the active substance responsible for the irritating and pungent effects of various species of hot pepper. CAP has emerged as a relatively selective

neurotoxin for small-diameter sensory neurons (Jessel *et al.*, 1978; Nagy *et al.*, 1981; Mitsuhiro *et al.*, 1994 and Jancso *et al.*, 1997).

Al-Kassie *et al.* (2011) reported that the use of (HRP) as feed additive at 0.50%, 0.75% and 1% enhanced the overall performance of broiler chicks. Shahverdi *et al.* (2013) concluded that the use of red and black pepper as feed additive at 1% enhanced the overall performance of broiler chicks.

The present work was conducted to evaluate the effect of hot pepper as a feed additive in reproductive performance and body weight of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) reared in hapas.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in commercial farm at El-Garak village, El-Fayoum Governorate, Egypt, for 65 days to determine the most suitable level of hot pepper (0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3%, 0.4% and 0.5%) beside the control diet (0.0 hot pepper) in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) diets and their effect on their reproductive and productive performance.

One year old Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) averaging  $250 \text{ g} \pm 2.35$  in weight were stocked for spawning into twelve hapas, (each of 7 m length  $\times$  4 m width  $\times$  1.2 m height); two hapa per each treatment. Hapas were fixed in an earthen pond, (one feddan area  $\times$  1 m. depth) with water level of 90 cm, about 1/3 of the water level was exchanged with fresh water every 3 days, such ponds were aerated with air diffuser. The distance between hapas of each treatment was not less than 10 m.

Water temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), dissolved oxygen (DO) mg/L and pH during the experimental period were measured by centigrade thermometer, oxygen meter, Cole Parmer model 5946 and Orion digital pH meter model 201 respectively and showed in Table 1.

Fish were stocked at a density of 120 fish (90 female and 30 male) per hapa. Fish were fed on the tested diets (Table 2) at a rate of 0.6% from their body weight, twice daily at 9 h and 15 h. Fish body mass was determined at two week intervals weighting for the next period.

Production of seed (i.e., eggs and fry production) was compared with the tested diets. Broodstock were checked for eggs every 10 days early in morning. Females carrying the eggs were taken from the hapa and returned after taking out of eggs. Eggs were taken to hatchery laboratory, and then were washed by potassium permanganate solution to prevent bacterial and fungal infection. The eggs were transferred into the jars. Eggs/hapa were counted, and then placed for hatching in 15L plastic jars, continuous flow of water was maintained until hatching. The number of eggs, number of fry/ fish and hatching percentage were calculated. Feed used were determined and the cost of feed/ 1000 fry produced were calculated. Also, fish total gain, average daily gain and specific growth rate (SGR) were obtained.

**Reproductive performance parameters were measured as follow:**

Egg No./femal = (Egg No./hapa)/(Spawned femal No./hapa).

Fry No./femal = (Fry No./hapa)/(Spawned femal No./hapa).

Hatching % = (Fry No./hapa)/(Egg No./hapa)\*100.

Total gain (TG, g) = W2-W1.

Average daily gain (ADG, g) = (TG,g)/(trial period ,days).

Specific growth rate (SGR %, g/day) =  $100 (\ln W2 - \ln W1)/T$ .

The cost of feed/1000fry (LE) = Feed required to produce 1000 fry, kg × 4.2 (price of kg feed)

The data were analyzed by one way analysis of variance. Duncan's Multiple Range test was applied. All statistics were carried out using statistical analysis systems (SAS, 2004).

**Table (1)** Formulation and proximate composition of the tested deities

Ingredient	Hot pepper levels %					
	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
<b>Fish meal<sup>1</sup></b>	30	30	30	30	30	30
<b>Soya bean<sup>2</sup></b>	15	15	15	15	15	15
<b>Rice bran</b>	21	21	21	21	21	21
<b>Wheat bran</b>	16	16	16	16	16	16
<b>Yellow corn</b>	14	13.9	13.8	13.7	13.6	13.5
<b>Molases</b>	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
<b>Dicalceium phosphate</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1
<b>Vit. and Min. premix<sup>3</sup></b>	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
<b>Hot pepper</b>	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
<b>Total</b>	100	100	100	100	100	100
<b>Proximate compassion % (DM basis)</b>						
<b>Dry matter (DM)</b>	89.57	89.53	89.47	89.5	89.51	89.45
<b>Crude protein (CP)</b>	34.57	34.31	34.27	34.56	34.44	34.39
<b>Crude fat (EE)</b>	6.25	6.39	6.32	6.50	6.13	6.44
<b>Crude fiber (CF)</b>	5.59	5.48	5.44	5.52	5.38	5.62
<b>NFE<sup>4</sup></b>	43.98	44.31	44.47	43.70	44.72	44.09
<b>Ash</b>	9.43	9.51	9.50	9.72	9.33	9.46
<b>GE<sup>5</sup>, Kcal/Kg</b>	4584	4584	4581	4586	4580	4591

1. Fish meal herring 999 (72% CP)

2. Soya bean meal (44% CP)

3. Emedest Farma Company

4 . NFE (Nitrogen free extract) = 100 – (protein% + lipid% + ash% + fiber%)

5. GE (gross energy) = ( 5.64 × CP % )+ ( 9.44 × EE% )+( 4.11 × NFE%)+ ( 4.11×fiber% ) (NRC, 1993).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Means of water temperature and other quality parameters (Dissolved oxygen and water pH) are presented in Table (2). Water temperature and other quality parameters were within the permissible ranges for tilapia growth and reproduction as reported by Siddiqui *et al.* (1998) and Ali (2001).

**Table (2)** Means of water quality parameters recorded during the experimental period (65 days)

Parameter	T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>
Temperature (C)	28.0± 0.22	28.0± 0.20	28.0± 0.18	28.0± 0.23	28.0± 0.19	28.0± 0.18
Oxygen (DO) Mg/ L	5.56± 0.12	5.53± 0.11	5.50± 0.12	5.52± 0.11	5.50± 0.11	5.52± 0.11
pH	8.20± 0.03	8.10± 0.02	8.10± 0.02	8.10± 0.02	8.10± 0.01	8.10± 0.01

Reproductive performance parameters of Nile tilapia broodstock acts on egg number/female as affected by dietary hot pepper level is shown in Table (3). The result showed improved egg number as hot pepper level increased in diets till 0.4% then decreased at 0.5%. The best treatment was T<sub>5</sub> which contained 0.4 % hot pepper followed by T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, and T<sub>2</sub>. These increased by about 23, 12, 11, 8 and 8% than the control (T<sub>1</sub>), respectively.

**Table (3)** Average of egg number/ female of Nile tilapia fed different levels of hot pepper for 5<sup>th</sup> harvest during the experimental period (65 days).

Treatments	Harvest number					Total period
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	
<b>T<sub>1</sub> (0.0)</b>	522.0 <sup>e</sup> ±12.0	470.0 <sup>e</sup> ±17.0	360.0 <sup>e</sup> ±9.0	338.0 <sup>e</sup> ±11.0	336.0 <sup>e</sup> ±9.0	2026.0 <sup>f</sup> ±25.7
<b>T<sub>2</sub> (0.1% HP)</b>	577.5 <sup>C</sup> ±13.0	525.0 <sup>C</sup> ±8.0	390.0 <sup>ed</sup> ±12.0	364.0 <sup>Cd</sup> ±9.0	335.5 <sup>d</sup> ±7.0	2192.0 <sup>e</sup> ±30.6
<b>T<sub>3</sub> (0.2% HP)</b>	591.0 <sup>b</sup> ±10.0	521.5 <sup>C</sup> ±7.0	402.5 <sup>C</sup> ±14.0	367.5 <sup>C</sup> ±8.0	365.0 <sup>b</sup> ±6.0	2247.5 <sup>c</sup> ±30.5
<b>T<sub>4</sub> (0.3% HP)</b>	593.5 <sup>b</sup> ±9.0	537.5 <sup>b</sup> ±6.0	408.5 <sup>b</sup> ±15.0	365.0 <sup>b</sup> ±6.0	365.0 <sup>b</sup> ±8.0	2269.5 <sup>b</sup> ±31.5
<b>T<sub>5</sub> (0.4% HP)</b>	650.0 <sup>a</sup> ±11.0	582.0 <sup>a</sup> ±15.0	456.0 <sup>a</sup> ±16.0	415.0 <sup>a</sup> ±13.0	390.0 <sup>a</sup> ±11.0	2493.0 <sup>a</sup> ±33.6
<b>T<sub>6</sub> (0.5% HP)</b>	572.5 <sup>d</sup> ±15.0	503.5 <sup>d</sup> ±11.0	403.0 <sup>d</sup> ±14.0	355.0 <sup>d</sup> ±9.0	362.0 <sup>c</sup> ±9.0	2196.0 <sup>d</sup> ±28.6

• Means having the same letter in the same column are significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$

Fry number/female as affected by dietary hot pepper level is shown in Table (4). The result takes the same trend of egg number and showed that improved fry number as hot pepper level increased in diet till 0.4% then decreased at 0.5%. The best treatment was T<sub>5</sub> which contained 0.4% hot pepper followed by T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, and T<sub>2</sub>. These increased by about 23, 12, 11, 8 and 8% than the control (T<sub>1</sub>), respectively.

**Table (4)** Average of fry number /female of Nile tilapia fed different levels of hot pepper for 5<sup>th</sup> harvest during the experimental period (65 days).

Treatments	Harvest number					Total period
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	
<b>T<sub>1</sub> (0.0)</b>	411.0 <sup>e</sup> ± 10.0	376.5 <sup>e</sup> ±15.0	287.0 <sup>e</sup> ±13.0	272.0 <sup>e</sup> ±15.0	272.0 <sup>e</sup> ±9.0	1618.5 <sup>f</sup> ±19.7
<b>T<sub>2</sub> (0.1% HP)</b>	465.0 <sup>d</sup> ±12.0	424.5 <sup>C</sup> ±12.0	313.5 <sup>c</sup> ±12.0	293.5 <sup>c</sup> ±12.0	289.0 <sup>c</sup> ±8.0	1785.5 <sup>e</sup> ±24.5
<b>T<sub>3</sub> (0.2% HP)</b>	478.5 <sup>c</sup> ±13.0	428.5 <sup>C</sup> ±12.0	320.0 <sup>C</sup> ±11.0	298.0 <sup>C</sup> ±14.0	290.0 <sup>c</sup> ±4.0	1815.0 <sup>c</sup> ±24.8
<b>T<sub>4</sub> (0.3% HP)</b>	486.0 <sup>b</sup> ±9.0	437.5 <sup>b</sup> ±17.0	336.5 <sup>b</sup> ±14.0	302.0 <sup>b</sup> ±14.0	299.0 <sup>b</sup> ±9.0	1861.0 <sup>b</sup> ±25.5
<b>T<sub>5</sub> (0.4% HP)</b>	542.5 <sup>a</sup> ±11.0	490.5 <sup>a</sup> ±13.0	383.5 <sup>a</sup> ±15.0	349.0 <sup>a</sup> ±13.0	327.0 <sup>a</sup> ±11.0	2092.5 <sup>a</sup> ±27.9
<b>T<sub>6</sub> (0.5% HP)</b>	460.5 <sup>d</sup> ±1.0	413.5 <sup>d</sup> ±12.0	332.0 <sup>d</sup> ±16.0	294.0 <sup>d</sup> ±17.0	299.0 <sup>d</sup> ±18.0	1799.0 <sup>d</sup> ±22.2

• Means having the same letter in the same column are significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$

Table 5 showed the hatching % as affected by hot pepper level and no significant differences were shown because the hatching % depended on the laboratory management and water quality.



**Table (5)** Average of Hatchability % of Nile tilapia fed different levels of hot pepper for 5<sup>th</sup> harvest during the experimental period (65 days).

Treatments	Harvest number					Total period
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	
<b>T<sub>1</sub> (0.0)</b>	78.75 <sup>e</sup> ±0.40	80.10 <sup>e</sup> ±0.40	79.75 <sup>e</sup> ±0.30	80.45 <sup>e</sup> ±0.40	80.95 <sup>e</sup> ±0.40	80.00± 0.29
<b>T<sub>2</sub> (0.1% HP)</b>	80.50 <sup>c</sup> ±0.50	80.90 <sup>d</sup> ±0.50	80.40 <sup>d</sup> ±0.50	80.65 <sup>d</sup> ±0.50	81.30 <sup>c</sup> ±0.10	80.75± 0.27
<b>T<sub>3</sub> (0.2% HP)</b>	80.95 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.70	82.20 <sup>b</sup> ±0.60	81.00 <sup>c</sup> ±0.40	82.45 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.20	80.05 <sup>d</sup> ±0.20	81.33± 0.32
<b>T<sub>4</sub> (0.3% HP)</b>	81.85 <sup>b</sup> ±0.40	81.35 <sup>c</sup> ±0.60	82.35 <sup>b</sup> ±0.40	82.75 <sup>b</sup> ±0.40	81.90 <sup>b</sup> ±0.20	82.04± 0.27
<b>T<sub>5</sub> (0.4% HP)</b>	83.45 <sup>a</sup> ±0.50	84.20 <sup>a</sup> ±0.30	84.10 <sup>a</sup> ±0.30	84.10 <sup>a</sup> ±0.30	83.35 <sup>a</sup> ±0.60	83.84± 0.17
<b>T<sub>6</sub> (0.5% HP)</b>	80.40 <sup>c</sup> ±0.60	82.10 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.40	82.1 <sup>d</sup> ±0.30	82.40 <sup>b</sup> ±0.50	82.60 <sup>b</sup> ±0.40	81.98± 0.31

• Means having the same letter in the same row are significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$

The effect of different levels of hot pepper on growth performance (body weight gain, feed consumption and feed required to produced 1000 fry) of Nile tilapia broodstock was presented in Table 6. Results showed significant effects ( $p < 0.05$ ) for fish fed different levels of hot pepper for all treatments as compared with the control group. The best treatment was T<sub>5</sub>, which contained 0.4 % hot pepper followed by T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, and T<sub>2</sub>. They increased by about 23, 12, 11, 8 and 8% than control (T<sub>1</sub>) respectively. This improvement obtained with regards to body weight gain are in agreement with researchers mentioned previously and may be due to active substances in hot pepper. Lazarevic *et al.* (2000) had indicated the active role of hot pepper compounds, specially the active compound (capsicine) and vit C that involved in stress hormones structures. These active compounds improved the immune system of birds and enhances diseases resistance through decreasing H/L ratio.

**Table (6)** Growth performance of Nile tilapia fed dietary hot pepper levels during the experimental period (65 days).

Item	Treatments					
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>
Initial weight (g)	250.0 ± 0.0	249.0 ± 0.0	249.5 ± 0.5	249.0 ± 1.0	248.5 ± 1.5	249.0 ± 1.0
Final mean weight (g)	289.5 <sup>d</sup> ±0.5	293.5 <sup>c</sup> ±0.5	295.5 <sup>c</sup> ±0.5	297.5 <sup>b</sup> ±0.5	304.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.0	299.5 <sup>b</sup> ±1.5
Total gain (g)	39.5 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.5	44.5 <sup>c</sup> ±0.5	46.0 <sup>c</sup> ± 1,0	48.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	55.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5	50.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5
Daily gain (g)	0.61 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.01	0.68 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.01	0.71 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.02	0.74 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.01	0.85 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.01	0.78 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.02
SGR % (g/day)	0.23 <sup>e</sup> ±0.003	0.25 <sup>d</sup> ±0.003	0.26 <sup>d</sup> ±0.006	0.27 <sup>c</sup> ±0.004	0.31 <sup>a</sup> ±0.001	0.28 <sup>b</sup> ±0.002
Total feed/hapa (kg)	11.78 <sup>b±</sup> 0.03	11.85 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.05	11.85 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.05	11.93 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	11.95 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	11.83 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.03
Feed required to produce 1000 fry, kg	0.40 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.33 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	0.29 <sup>ab</sup> ±00	0.28 <sup>b</sup> ±002	0.23 <sup>c</sup> ±0.003	0.29 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.01
The cost of feed/1000fry (LE)*	1.69 <sup>a</sup> ±0.11	1.39 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06	1.22 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.001	1.17 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.97 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	1.22 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.02

- The cost of feed/1000fry (LE) = Feed required to produce 1000 fry, kg × 4.2 (price of kg feed)
- Means having the same letter in the same row are significantly different at P≤0.05

At the end of the experiment hot pepper adding to Nile tilapia diets at level of 0.4 % was the best level than other which improved egg and fry number and these may be due to presence of active substances and vitamin C witch improved egg constitution.

## REFERENCES

- Ali, A.A.A., 2001. The effect of some nutritional treatments on fecundity, sex reversal and productive performance of Nile tilapia. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., El-Fayoum, Cairo Univ. ARE.
- Al-Kassie, G.A.M; M.A.M. Al-Nasrawi and S.J. Ajeena, 2011. The Effects of using hot red pepper as a diet supplement on some performance traits in broiler. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 10 (9): 842-845.

- Barman, B.K. and D. Little, 2011. Use of hapas to produce Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) seed in household foodfish ponds: A participatory trial with small-scale farming households in Northwest Bangladesh. *Aquaculture*, 317: 214–222.
- Beveridge, M.C.M. 2004. *Cage aquaculture*, 3rd Edition. Blackwells, Ltd., Oxford. 354 pp.
- Dan, N.C. and D.C. Little, 2000. The culture performance of monosex and mixed sex new season and over-wintered fry in two strains on Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in northern Vietnam. *Aquaculture*, 184(3-4): 221-231
- FAO, 2012. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Statistics and Information Service Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture part 1*
- GAFRD, 2012. General authority for fish resources development. Fishery statistic. Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture.
- Jancso, G; E. Kiraly and Jansco-Gabor A., 1997. Pharmacologically induced selective degeneration of chemosensitive primary sensory neurons. *Nature*, 270: 741-743.
- Jessel, T.M. ; L.L. Iversen and A.C. Cuello, 1978. Capsaicin induced depletion of substance P from primary sensory neurons. *Brain Res.*, 152: 183-188.
- Kobata, K., G. Todo; S. Yazawa; I. Iwa and T. Watab, 1998. Novel capsacinoid-like substances, capsiate and dihydrocapsiate, from the fruits of a nonpungent cultivar, CH-19 sweet of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 46: 1695-1697.

- Lazarevic, M.; S. Zikie and G. Uscebrka, 2000. The influence of long term sound stress on the blood leukocyte count, heterophil lymphocyte ratio and cataneous basophil in broiler chickens. *Acta Vet.*, 50: 63-76.
- Little, D.C., 2004. Delivering better quality tilapia seed to farmers. In: Remedios, B.B., Mair, G.C., Fitzsimmons, K. (Eds.), *New dimensions on farmed tilapia: 6<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture*, International Convention Center, Roxas, Boulevard, Manila, Philippines, September, 12–16, pp. 3–17.
- Little, D.C.; D.J. Macintosh and P. Edwards, 1993. Improving spawning synchrony in the Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture Research*, 24: 319–325.
- Little, D.C.; R.C. Bhujel and T.A. Pham, 2003. Advanced nursing of mixed sex and MTreated tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fry, and its impacts on subsequent growth in fertilized ponds. *Aquaculture* 221 (1–4): 265–276.
- Mair, G.C.; D.C Little 1991. Population control in farmed tilapias. *NAGA, the ICLARM Quarterly*, 4 (2): 8-13.
- Mitsuhiro, F.; S.I. Nakajima; S. Miyagawa; J. Nakagawa and J.I. Okumura 1994. Feedin behavior abnominal fat and laying performance in laying hens given diets containing red pepper. *Jpn. Poult. Sci.*, 31: 45- 52.
- Nagy, J.L.; S.P Hunt ; L.L. Lversen and P.C. Emson, 1981. Biochemical and anatomical observations on the degeneration of peptide containing primary afferent neurons after neonatal capsaicin. *Neuroscience*, 6: 1923-1934.
- NRC, 1993. *Nutrient requirements of fish*. National Research Council. National Academic Press, Washington, D.C., USA.

- SAS Institute, 2004. SAS User's Guide: Statistics Version 6th ed. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC. SSs.
- Shahverdi, A.; F. Kheiri; M. Faghani; Y. Rahimian and A. Rafiee, 2013. The effect of use red pepper (*Capsicum annum L*) and black pepper (*Piper nigrum L*) on performance and hematological parameters of broiler chicks. European Journal of Zoological Research, 2 (6): 44- 48.
- Shahverdi, A.; F. Kheiri; M. Faghani; Y. Rahimian and A. Rafiee, 2013. The effect of use red pepper (*Capsicum annum L*) and black pepper (*Piper nigrum L*) on performance and hematological parameters of broiler chicks. European Journal of Zoological Research, 2 (6): 44-48.
- Siddiqui, A.Q.; Y.S. Al-Hafedh and S.A. Ali, 1998. Effect of dietary protein level on the reproductive performance of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus L*. Aquaculture Res., 29: 349-358.
- Sorphea, S.; T. Lundh; T.R. Preston and K. Borin, 2010. Effect of stocking densities and feed supplements on the growth performance of tilapia (*Oreochromis spp.*) raised in ponds and in the paddy field. Livest. Res. Rural Dev., 22: 227.
- Wesołowska, A.; D. Jadczyk and M. Grzeszczuk, 2011. Chemical composition of the pepper fruit extracts of hot cultivars *Capsicum annum L*. Acta Sci. Pol., Hortorum Cultus, 10 (1): 171-184.

## تأثير استخدام مستويات مختلفة من الفلفل الحار بإضافة غذائية على مظاهر التناسل والنمو في البلطي النيلي

احمد عبد الله عبدالرحمن على<sup>١</sup> ، حسام محمود عجوز<sup>٢</sup>

<sup>١</sup> قسم بحوث التفريخ وفسولوجيا الاسماك

<sup>٢</sup> قسم بحوث الاستزراع السمكى

المعمل المركزى لبحوث الثروة السمكية - العباسية - الشرقية - مصر

### الملخص العربى

اجريت هذه الدراسة بمفرخ خاص بمحافظة الفيوم لمدة ٦٥ يوم لدراسة تأثير خمسة مستويات مختلفة من الفلفل الحار (٠.١%، ٠.٢%، ٠.٣%، ٠.٤%، ٠.٥%) بالاضافة الى مجموعة الكنترول على الكفاءة التناسلية لأسماك البلطي النيلي.

تم توزيع الأسماك التى متوسط وزنها ٢٥٠ جم وعمرها عام واحد فى ١٢ هابة (٤م×٧م×١.٢م) مثبتة فى احواض ترابية بمعدل ١٢٠ سمكة فى الهابة (٩٠ انثى + ٣٠ ذكر) و تم تغذية الاسماك بالعلائق المختبرة بمعدل ٠.٦% من وزن الجسم

تم قياس خواص المياه ونتاج البيض والزريعة ونسبة الفقس ومعدل النمو وتم مقارنة الانتاج بالنسبة للعلائق ذات المستويات المختلفة من الفلفل الحار . و اشارت النتائج المتحصل عليها بالنسبة للتفريخ (عدد البيض والزريعة / نسبة الفقس ) ومعدل النمو الى التحسن مع زيادة نسبة الفلفل الحار من ٠.١% إلى ٠.٤% ثم إنخفض مع نسبة ٠.٥% .

ودلت النتائج ان مستوى ٠.٤% هو المستوى المناسب للعلائق بالنسبة للمستويات المختلفة من الفلفل الحار .